

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2010  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S163680**      H030986 Sixth Appellate District

**COMMITTEE FOR GREEN  
FOOTHILLS v. SANTA  
CLARA COUNTY BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS/(BOARD OF  
TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND  
STANFORD JUNIOR  
UNIVERSITY)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and the matter remanded for entry of judgment in favor of the County and Stanford.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

**S164796**      H031237 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. SORIA (MARCOS  
HIJINIO)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

**S180152**

**CALLOWAY (BRYANT  
KEITH),IN RE**

Petition for writ of review & application for stay denied

**S162823**      D050554 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. McKEE  
(RICHARD)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to April 28, 2010, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

**S178811**      B201439 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **DOWELL (DEANA) v.  
PACESETTER, INC.  
(BIOSENSE WEBSTER, INC.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2010.

**S178930**      D053644 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **KAYE (MICHAEL) v. BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES OF THE SAN  
DIEGO PUBLIC LAW  
LIBRARY**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 19, 2010.

**S178991**      B220868 Second Appellate District, Div. 5      **JHH MOTORCARS, INC. v.  
S.C. (FIRST CAPITOL  
CONSULTING, INC.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 24, 2010.

**S178992**      A122436 First Appellate District, Div. 2      **STONERIDGE HOMEOWNERS  
ASSOCIATION v. SADDLEBACK  
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 24, 2010.

**S048543**      **PEOPLE v. ROUNTREE  
(CHARLES F.)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Leslie W. Westmoreland's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 13, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 13, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S096809****PEOPLE v. POYNTON  
(RICHARD JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla Johnson's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 10, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 8, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S120583****PEOPLE v. CAGE (MICKY  
RAY)**

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 2, 2010.

**S168103****LUCAS (DAVID ALLEN) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Lundy's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 12, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 5, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about seven additional days is contemplated.

**S173973**      D052091 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. MENA (JOAQUIN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 8, 2010.

**S175855**      A122896 First Appellate District, Div. 4**WHITLEY (ROY),  
CONSERVATORSHIP OF**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 15, 2010.

**S177704**      E045714 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **IN RE M.M.**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lauren E. Eskenazi is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

**S179579**      B212635 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **IN RE J.H.**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Nancy Tetreault is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

**S066940**

**PEOPLE v. CLARK  
(WILLIAM CLINTON)**

Order filed

The order filed on February 9, 2010, is amended to read as follows:

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter Giannini's prior representation that he anticipated filing the appellant's reply brief by April 1, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2010. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

**S179554**

**HARNDEN (JEFF S.) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.